

# ● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

|                                |                              |                            |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Application : <u>10/042612</u> | Examiner : <u>KORNAKOV</u>   | GAU : <u>1746</u>          |
| From: <u>NPB</u>               | Location: <u>IDC</u> FMF FDC | Date: <u>05/03/05</u>      |
| Tracking #: <u>06090962</u>    |                              | Week Date: <u>03/28/05</u> |

| DOC CODE                                 | DOC DATE        | MISCELLANEOUS                                |
|--|-----------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1449            | _____           | <input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IDS             | _____           | <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CLM             | _____           | <input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IIFW | <u>01/31/05</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> Fees                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SRFW            | _____           | <input type="checkbox"/> Other               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DRW             | _____           |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OATH            | _____           |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 312             | _____           |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SPEC            | _____           |  |

[RUSH] MESSAGE: \_\_\_\_\_

Please supply "subclass" of international class "CID"  
in IIFW form dated 01/31/05.

Thompson

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: \_\_\_\_\_

International class CID does not have  
a subclass.

which corresponds to US 510/495

INITIALS: NK

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.  
 REV 10/04

\* See attached e-mail

For application  
10/042,612

**From:** Hall, Charles [mailto:Charles.Hall@USPTO.GOV]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2005 2:57 PM  
**To:** Hluchan, Karen (RTIS)  
**Cc:** Charles, Inez (LNG-RTI); Michael, Jane (RTIS); Rishell, Ed  
**Subject:** IPC query for 10/042,612

**Ms. Hluchan:**

**--- See the e-mail chain below. This is in response to your question about Appl. No. 10/042,612. (The PTO doesn't yet have a response to your question about the other case.)**

**--- Please capture C11D 7/50 and C11D 7/34 as the two IPCs for 10/042,612.**

**--- Please print this e-mail and have it scanned into the Image File Wrapper system so the the application record for 10/042,612 will show your source for the capture of the IPCs.**

**Thanks.**

**Charles Hall (in Off of Pat Pub)**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mackey, Terrence  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2005 2:38 PM  
**To:** Rishell, Ed  
**Cc:** Hall, Charles  
**Subject:** RE: incomplete IPCs in Appl. Nos. 10/042,612 and 10/047,309

sorry -- I also meant to include a second IPC of **C11D 7/34**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mackey, Terrence  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2005 2:36 PM  
**To:** Rishell, Ed  
**Cc:** Hall, Charles

**Subject:** RE: incomplete IPCs in Appl. Nos.  
10/042,612 and 10/047,309

For 10/042,612 please use **C11D 7/50** for the IPC classification.

Terrence M. Mackey

**United States Patent and Trademark Office**

**International Liaison Staff**

**MDW - 08C85**

**(571) 272-5473**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Rishell, Ed

**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2005 2:01 PM

**To:** Mackey, Terrence; Nguyen, Yen

**Cc:** Smith, Harold P.; Chaudhuri, Olik

**Subject:** FW: incomplete IPCs in Appl.  
Nos. 10/042,612 and 10/047,309

Yen/Terry - Harold said in the future I should forward these to SPC/Classifier responsible for the AU to resolve. You may either contact the examiner/SPE and get the full IPC classification or you may provide it yourself, if you wish. Thanks, Ed R.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hall, Charles

**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2005 10:56 AM

**To:** Rishell, Ed

**Subject:** incomplete IPCs in Appl. Nos. 10/042,612  
and 10/047,309

**Mr. Rishell:** RTIS has encountered two Examiners each of whom, in response to a "printer rush" query, has refused to supply a complete IPC on the IIFW doc.

**10/042,612**

--- IIFW docs dated 01-31-2005 and 05-13-2005 show international classification as C11D.

--- In XRUSH doc dated 05-13-2005 Examiner Michael Kornakov in Art Unit 1746 says, "International class C11D does not have a subclass which corresponds to US 510/495."

**10/047,309**

--- IIFW doc dated 03-09-2005 shows international classification as H04B.

--- In XRUSH doc dated 05-23-2005 Examiner Ping Lee in Art Unit 2644 says, "There is none for 'subclass' of international class 'H04B' for US 381/124."

When this happened in another case in May of this year (10/107,082--in which see IMIS doc dated 05-16-2005), you got involved via e-mail with the SPE or Examiner. In these two new cases should RTIS re-query or would you prefer to get directly involved as you did back in May?  
Thanks.

Charles Hall

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hluchan, Karen (RTIS)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2005 9:03 AM  
**To:** Hall, Charles  
**Cc:** Charles, Inez (LNG-RTI); Michael, Jane (RTIS)  
**Subject:** IPC queries for 10/042612 and 10/047309  
**Importance:** High

Charles,

We have encountered two situations which are similar to the case that is described below in the e-mail chain. They are as follows:

Ser. No. 10/042612, Examiner M. Kornakov - missing Int. subclass and he says "International class C11D does not have a subclass which corresponds to US 510/495." (his underlining)

Ser. No. 10/047309; "There is none for "subclass" of internation class H045 for US 381/124" - Examiner: Ping Lee.

Please let us know how you would like us to proceed with both of these cases. Thanks. \*

Sincerely,  
Karen T. Hluchan